## 1.4.5 Principle of mathematical induction – continuation

**Example.** Derive a formula for  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^2$  and prove it.

**Solution.** Our guess will be that it as some polynomial of degree 3. (It is a generalization of the fact the  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ .) A general polynomial of degree 3 is  $an^3 + bn^2 + cn + d$ . If  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^2 = an^3 + bn^2 + cn + d$  is a correct formula then it has to be valid for any  $n \ge 0$ .

If we would like to prove the formula using mathematical induction we should have to show that the unknown coefficients a, b, c, d satisfy the basic and the inductive step.

Let us first examine the basic step: For n = 0, it must hold

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^{0} i^{2} = a \cdot 0^{3} + b \cdot 0^{2} + c \cdot 0 + d = d.$$

Hence d = 0 and if the formula will be correct then  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^2 = an^3 + bn^2 + cn$ .

Now, let us deal with the inductive step. Assume that  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^2 = an^3 + bn^2 + cn$ . We have to show that  $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} i^2 = a(n+1)^3 + b(n+1)^2 + c(n+1)$ . We know that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} i^2 = \sum_{i=0}^n i^2 + (n+1)^2.$$

Hence the coefficients a, b, c have to satisfy

$$a(n+1)^3 + b(n+1)^2 + c(n+1) = an^3 + bn^2 + cn + (n+1)^2.$$

Two polynomials are equal if, and only if the corresponding coefficients are equal. We get the following system of linear equations

The only solution of the system above is  $a = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $c = \frac{1}{6}$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^2 = \frac{1}{3}n^3 + \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{1}{6}n = \frac{n(2n+1)(n+1)}{6}.$$

**1.4.6 Theorem.** The principle of mathematical induction follows from the well-ordering principle.

**Proof.** Assume that for a property V(n) there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the following conditions hold:

- 1.  $V(n_0)$  is true.
- 2. If V(n) is true for a natural number  $n \ge n_0$  then so is V(n+1).

Denote by M the set of all natural numbers  $n \ge n_0$  for which V(n) is not true. Assume that M has the smallest element, say  $n_1$ . Clearly,  $n_1 \ne n_0$  because  $V(n_0)$  is true. Hence,  $n_1 > n_0$ . Take  $n_2 = n_1 - 1$ ; then  $n_2 \ge n_0$ . Since  $n_1$  is the smallest element of M,  $n_2 \ne M$ , which means that  $V(n_2)$  is true. But then by the condition 2),  $V(n_1)$  it true as well, indeed,  $n_1 = n_2 + 1$ . A contradiction.

Therefore, M does not have smallest element which, by well-ordering principle, means that  $M = \emptyset$ . We have shown that V(n) is true for all natural numbers  $n \ge n_0$ , which is what principle of mathematical induction claims.

**1.4.7 Remark.** The principle of weak mathematical induction is not always sufficient when e.g. proving some facts. Here, we introduce a new version of mathematical induction, called a strong mathematical induction, which is equivalent to the weak one but helps to solve situations where the weak one does not suffice.

**1.4.8** Principle of Strong Mathematical Induction. Given a property V(n) that may be true or false for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $n_0$  be a natural number. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- 1.  $V(n_0)$  holds.
- 2. If V(k) holds for every natural number  $k, n_0 \leq k < n$ , then so does V(n).

Then V(n) holds for all natural numbers  $n \ge n_0$ .

Notice, that the principle of strong mathematical induction differs from the weak one in the second condition. In the weak induction we assume that V(n-1) is true and from it we deduce that V(n) is true as well. In the strong version, the induction assumption is that V(k) holds for **every**  $k, n_0 \leq k < n$ . From this assumption we show that V(n) is true as well.

**1.4.9 Example.** Let us prove by strong mathematical induction the following statement: Every natural number  $n \ge 2$  is a product of one or more primes.

Recall that: A prime number is a natural number p > 1 such that if  $p = r \cdot s$  for natural numbers r, s then either r = 1 or s = 1. A natural number n > 1 which is not a prime is called a composite number.

Solution: We proceed by strong mathematical induction.

**Basic step.** The number n = 2 is a prime number. So the statement is true for n = 2.

**Inductive step.** Assume that all natural numbers k such that  $2 \le k < n$  are products of one or more primes. Consider the number n. Then either n is a prime number of n is a composite number. If n is a prime then it is a product of one prime number.

Assume that n is a composite number. Then  $n = r \cdot s$  where 1 < r, s < n. From the induction assumption, we know that r and s are products of one or more primes, hence n is a product of primes as well.

**1.4.10** Theorem. The weak and the strong mathematical induction are equivalent.

**Justification.** It is not difficult to see that whenever a property V(n) satisfies 2w) and V(n) is true, then V(k) is true for every k,  $n_0 \le k \le n$ . Indeed, assume that there is k,  $n_0 \le k \le n$ . Take the smallest one and denote it by  $k_0$ . Then  $k_0 > n_0$ , since  $V(n_0)$  is true by the condition 1). Consider  $k_0 - 1 \ge n_0$ ; because  $k_0$  is the smallest one for which V(k) is not true,  $V(k_0 - 1)$  is true. But in this case, the condition  $V(k_0)$  must be true, this follows from the condition 2w). A contradiction.

**1.4.11** Theorem. The well-ordering principle follows from the strong version of mathematical induction.

**Proof.** Assume that the strong version of mathematical induction holds. Let M be a set of natural numbers that does not have the smallest element. We shall show that M is empty.

Consider the property V(n) defined by

V(n) is true if, and only if  $n \notin M$ .

The property V(n) satisfies 1) for  $n_0 = 0$ , and 2s). Indeed:

1. Basic step. V(0) is true. Indeed, if  $0 \in M$  then 0 is the smallest element of M, which contradicts to the fact that M does not have the smallest element.

2s. Inductive step. Assume that for all  $k \leq n$  it holds  $k \notin M$  (V(k) is true). Then n + 1 cannot be in M, indeed it would have been the smallest element of M. Hence, V(n + 1) is true.

By strong mathematical induction, V(n) is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which means that no natural number belongs to M; therefore M is empty.

**1.4.12** Tiling Problem. A right tromino is a figure consisting in three squares of the same size arranged to a right angle. A deficient board of  $2^{2n}$  squares is a square with one square missing.

Is it possible to cover a deficient boar by trominos for every  $n \ge 1$ .

The fact that the answer is yes, can be proved by induction.

**Basic step.** For n = 1 a deficient board of  $2^2$  squares is just one tromino.

**Inductive step.** Assume that any deficient board of  $2^{2n}$  squares can be covered by trominos. Consider a deficient board of  $2^{2(n+1)}$  squares. Divide the board into 4 boards of  $2^{2n}$  squares, one of which is a deficient board. Place one tromino in the center so that now all 4 boards are deficient. Since now all the 4 boards are deficient, we can use the induction assumption to cover it by trominos.

**1.4.13** Hanoi Towers. Three pegs A, B, C are given together with n discs of different size. All discs are placed to peg A. The task is to move all n discs to peg B or C. The rule for moving a disc is the following: A disc can be placed only on a bigger one.

Compute the smallest number of moves that are needed to move n discs from peg A to peg B.

To move the biggest disc (which is the bottom one on peg A) one must first move all n-1 smaller discs to one of the pegs B or C, then the biggest one must be moved to an empty peg. Finally, all the n-1 smaller ones must move on the biggest one.

Hence the following formula for the number of moves T(n) holds:

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T(n-1) + 1, \quad T(1) = 1.$$

It is not difficult to guess and afterwards prove that

$$T(n) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{n-1} = 2^n - 1$$

**1.4.14 Structural Induction.** Mathematical induction is used not only to prove some statement but also to define a set. Let us start with an example.

**Example.** The set B of all binary words can be defined as follows:

**Basic step.** The empty word belong to B, i.e.  $\varepsilon \in B$ .

**Inductive step.** If  $w \in B$  then  $w0 \in B$  and  $w1 \in B$ .

The basic step lists "small" elements of the set. The inductive step tells how to get "more complex" elements from those that were already formed.

**1.4.15** Let *A* be a set of binary words defined inductively by:

- $0 \in A$  and  $1 \in A$ .
- If  $w \in A$  then  $0w0 \in A$  and  $1w1 \in A$ .

Prove that A consists of all binary words of odd length which are palindromes (i.e. words w that are the same as its reverse).

**Solution.** Denote by A' the set of all binary words that are palindromes. We have to show that A = A'.

First, let us prove that  $A \subseteq A'$ , in other words, that every word  $w \in A$  is a palindrome of odd length. We proceed as follows:

- 1. 0 and 1 are palindromes of odd length.
- 2. If w is a palindrome of odd length then so are 0w0 and 1w1.

Since all elements from A were constructed using finite number of steps 1. and 2., we proved that every element of A belongs to A'.

Now, let us prove that  $A' \subseteq A$ ; in other words, that every odd palindrome can be obtained by the procedure above. We shall proceed by induction on the length 2n - 1 of w.

**Basic step.** For n = 1 there are only two palindromes of length 1; indeed, they are 0 and 1. Both belong to A.

**Inductive step.** Assume that any palindrome of length 2n - 1 can be formed by the rules 1) and 2) (i.e. they belong to A). Take any palindrome w of length 2n + 1, and denote by a its first bit. Then a is either 0 or 1. Since w is a palindrome, the first bit must be the same as the last one. Hence, w = aua for a palindrome u of length 2n - 1. Therefore,  $u \in A$ , which proves that  $aua \in A$  as well.

Hence, by the mathematical induction we get that A = A'; in other words, A consists of all palindromes of odd length.

The first part of the proof is called *structural induction*.