## Chapter 2

# Integers

### 2.1 Integers and Their Properties

Integers are well known numbers. They play a crucial role in mathematics, primarily in the discrete mathematics and its applications. We will use them in the sequel to introduce "new numbers", the residual classes of integers modulo a positive integer n.

First, let us recall some well known facts about division of integers. They are: integer division with remainder, a common divisor, and the greatest common divisor. We present the Euclid's Algorithm for finding the greatest common divisor and its applications, namely for solving Diophantic equations — equations in which only integer solutions are sought.

**2.1.1 The Division Theorem.** Let a, b, b > 0, be two integers. Then there exist unique integers q, r such that

$$a = q b + r, \quad 0 \le r < b.$$

We will prove later only the uniqueness part of the theorem, the existence of q and r follows from the well known way how to divide two integers.

**2.1.2 Remark.** 1. The number q is called the *quotient*, and r the *remainder* when we divide a by b.

2. We formulated the division theorem 2.1.1 not only for natural numbers a and b, but also for a negative integer a. In that case, we have to be a little more careful. Assume that a is negative. Divide the absolute value |a| by b. Then |a| = q'b + r' for  $0 \le r' < b$ ,  $q' \le 0$ , and a = -q'b - r'. If r' = 0 then a = -q'b, and we have q = -q', r = 0. Assume 0 < r' < b, then a = -q'b - r' = -(q'+1)b + (b-r'). Moreover, 0 < b - r' < b, and hence q = -(q'+1) and r = b - r'.

We show the procedure on the following example: Let a = -7, b = 3. We have  $7 = 2 \cdot 3 + 1$ , hence  $-7 = -2 \cdot 3 - 1 = -3 \cdot 3 + (3 - 1)$ . Therefore, q = -3 and r = 2.

Let us prove the uniqueness of the quotient and the remainder.

**2.1.3** Justification of Uniqueness. Assume that there exist two pairs q and r from 2.1.1, say  $q_1$ ,  $r_1$  and  $q_2$ ,  $r_2$ , where  $0 \le r_1, r_2 < b$ . We have

$$a = q_1 b + r_1$$
, and  $a = q_2 b + r_2$ .

Then

$$q_1 b + r_1 = q_2 b + r_2$$
, i.e.  $(q_1 - q_2) b = r_2 - r_1$ .

Because  $|r_2 - r_1| < b$  and it is a multiple of b, the number  $q_1 - q_2$  must be 0 (indeed, otherwise  $|(q_1 - q_2)b| \ge b)$ . And this means that  $q_1 = q_2$  and  $r_1 = r_2$ . We have shown that the quotient and the remainder are unique.

**2.1.4 Divisibility.** Let us recall other well known notions.

**Definition.** Given two integers a, b. We say that b divides a if a = k b for some integer k. We also say that a is a *multiple* of b. This fact is denoted by b | a.

A positive integer p, p > 1, is said to be a *prime* if it satisfies:

 $a \mid p, a \ge 0$ , implies a = 1 or a = p.

A number n > 1 is *composite* if it is not a prime, or equivalently, if there exist  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n = r \cdot s$  and r > 1 and s > 1.

Notice, that 0 divides 0; indeed, e.g.  $0 = 1 \cdot 0$ . If  $b \neq 0$  then  $b \mid a$  if and only if the remainder when dividing a by b equals 0. Also, note that 1 has a special role, it is (by definition) neither a composite number nor a prime.

**2.1.5** A Common Divisor and the Greatest Common Divisor. Let us recall the definition of a common divisor and the greatest common divisor.

**Definition.** Let a and b be two integers. A common divisor of a and b is any integer e for which  $e \mid a$  and  $e \mid b$ .

The greatest common divisor of a, b is the integer c such that

1.  $c \ge 0$ 

- 2. c is a common divisor of a and b, i.e.  $c \mid a$  and  $c \mid b$ ,
- 3. and if e is any common divisor of a and b then  $e \mid c$ .

The greatest common divisor of a and b is denoted by gcd(a, b). Integers a and b are called relatively prime (or coprime) if gcd(a, b) = 1.

#### 2.1.6 Remarks.

- 1. For every natural number a we have  $a = \gcd(a, 0)$ .
- 2. If for natural numbers a, b we have  $a \mid b$  then gcd(a, b) = a.
- 3. For every integers a, b it holds that gcd(a, b) is always non-negative and gcd(a, b) = gcd(-a, b) = gcd(a, -b) = gcd(-a, -b).

**2.1.7** You know from school mathematics that the greatest common divisor of a and b can be found using a factorization of a and b into products of primes. Unfortunately, finding such factorization for big a (or b) is a very difficult task. (There is not known a tractable algorithm for finding it.) The following fast algorithm, due to Euclid, is based on the division theorem.

#### 2.1.8 Euclid's Algorithm.

**Input**: Positive natural numbers a and b**Output**: c = gcd(a, b).

- 1. (Initialization.) u := a, t := b;
- 2. (Divide u by t.)

repeat  $\begin{array}{l} \text{do } u = q \cdot t + r; \\ u := t, \, t := r. \end{array}$  until  $t = 0. \end{array}$ 

3. (The greatest common divisor) return c := u.

**2.1.9** Correctness of the Euclid's Algorithm. Notice that the above algorithm will always terminate; indeed, the number t in the next execution of the step 2 is an integer that is always strictly smaller than the previous one. So after a finite number of executions of step 2, we get t = 0 and the algorithm terminates.

The fact that the algorithm returns gcd(a, b) is proved in the following proposition.

**Proposition.** The pairs of numbers u, t and t, r from the Euclid's algorithm 2.1.8 have the same common divisors. Hence gcd(u, t) = gcd(t, r) = gcd(a, b).

Justification. Since  $r = u - q \cdot t$  for an integer q, any common divisor of u and t is also a divisor of t, r. Indeed, if  $u = d \cdot u'$  and  $t = d \cdot t'$ , then also  $r = d \cdot u' - q \cdot d \cdot t' = d(u' - qt')$ .

On the other hand,  $u = q \cdot t + r$  so any common divisor of t, r is a divisor of u as well. Indeed, if  $t = d \cdot t'$  and  $r = d \cdot r'$ , then also  $u = q \cdot d \cdot t' + d \cdot r' = d(qt' + r')$ .

**2.1.10** Euclid's Algorithm can be extended in such a way that it finds not only gcd(a, b) but also **integers** x, y that solve the following equation

$$a x + b y = \gcd(a, b).$$

Such equations (considered as equations over integers) will play a crucial role when investigating properties of residual classes modulo n.

**2.1.11** Bezout's Theorem. Let a and b be two natural numbers. Denote c = gcd(a, b). Then there exist integers x, y such that

$$a x + b y = c.$$

The proof of the Bezout's theorem will be given by the extended Euclid's algorithm, because the extended Euclid's algorithm not only proves the existence of integers x and y, but it finds them together with the greatest common divisor of a and b.

#### 2.1.12 Extended Euclid's Algorithm.

**Input**: natural numbers a and b.

**Output**: c = gcd(a, b) together with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  for which a x + b y = c.

```
1. (Initialization.)

u := a, x_u := 1, y_u := 0, t := b, x_t := 0, y_t := 1;

2. (Division.)

repeat

do u = q \cdot t + r, x_r := x_u - q x_t, y_r := y_u - q y_t;

u := t, x_u := x_t, y_u := y_t

t := r, x_t := x_r, y_t := y_r.

until t = 0

3. (Greatest common divisor and x, y)

return c := u, x := x_u, y := y_u.
```

Justification of the above algorithm is similar to 2.1.8.

- 1.  $a = 1 \cdot a + 0 \cdot b$  and  $b = 0 \cdot a + 1 \cdot b$ . So, the step 1 correctly sets  $x_u, y_u$  and  $x_t, y_t$ .
- 2. Assume that  $u = a x_u + b y_u$  and  $t = a x_t + b y_t$ . Then

$$r = u - qt = ax_u + by_u - q(ax_t + by_t) = a(x_u - qx_t) + b(y_u - qy_t).$$

Hence, it is clear that the numbers  $x_r$  and  $y_r$  are correctly defined.

The Bezout's theorem has couple of important corollaries; some of them you have used in school mathematics without justification.

#### 2.1.13 Corollary.

- 1. Let a and b be two relatively prime numbers. If a divides a product  $b \cdot c$  then a divides
- 2. If a prime number p divides a product  $a \cdot b$  then it divides at least one of the numbers a, b.

Justification. We prove the first part of the corollary; the second one is an easy consequence of the first one.

Assume that numbers a and b are relatively prime. By the Bezout's theorem there exist integers x, y such that

$$1 = a x + b y.$$

Multiplying the equation by c we get

$$c = a \, c \, x + b \, c \, y.$$

Number a divides ac and it also divides the product bc, hence a divides c.

2.1.14 Prime Factorization. Let us recall another known fact – a factorization of a natural number different from 1 into a product of primes.

**Theorem.** Every natural number n, n > 1, factors into a product of primes, i.e.

$$n = p_1^{i_1} \cdot p_2^{i_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_k^{i_k},$$

where  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  are distinct primes, and  $i_1, \ldots, i_k$  positive natural numbers.

If moreover  $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_k$  then the factorization is unique.

Justification. The existence of a prime factorization is shown using mathematical induction (more precisely, the principle of strong mathematical induction).

To justify the uniqueness one can use the above corollary. Assume that

 $p_1^{i_1} \cdot p_2^{i_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_k^{i_k} = q_1^{j_1} \cdot q_2^{j_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot q_m^{j_m}$ 

and  $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_k$ ,  $q_1 < q_2 < \ldots < q_m$  then  $p_1$  divides  $q_1^{j_1} \cdot q_2^{j_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot q_m^{j_m}$  so  $p_1 = q_1$ . (Indeed, a prime number p divides a prime number q then p = q. Hence,  $p_1$  must be equal to the smallest prime among  $q_i$  and it is  $q_1$ .)

If we divide the equality by  $p_1$  and repeat the argument we get that  $i_1 = j_1$ . Analogously (after dividing by  $p_1^{i_1}$ ) we get  $p_2 = q_2$ ,  $i_2 = j_2$ , etc. k = m and  $p_k = q_k$ ,  $i_k = j_k$ . 

2.1.15There is a Countably Many Primes. Using the prime factorization theorem one can easily prove that there is an infinite number of primes – see the following theorem. Since every prime is an integer, it means that there is countably many of them.

**Theorem.** There are infinitely (countably) many primes.

Justification. Assume that there were only finitely many primes, say  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_N$  were the only primes. Then the number  $n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_N + 1$  is a product of primes; namely is divisible by some prime p. But p cannot be among  $p_1, \ldots, p_N$ , since n is not divisible by any  $p_i$  – a contradiction. 

**2.1.16** Diophantic Equations. The Bezout's theorem 2.1.11 helps us to solve other linear equations where we are looking for integer solutions – so called *Diophantic equations*.

**Definition.** Given three integers a, b, c. Find all integers  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  which are solutions of the following equation

$$ax + by = c. (2.1)$$

**2.1.17** When a Diophantic Equation Has Got a Solution. The following proposition characterizes all Diophantic equations that have got at least one solution.

**Proposition.** Equation 2.1 has got at least one solution if and only if c is divisible by the greatest common divisor of a and b.

Justification. Denote d = gcd(a, b). If c is a multiple of d, say c = k d, then it suffices to find integers x', y' from the Bezout's Theorem for which

$$d = a x' + b y'$$
 and  $c = k d = a k x' + b k y'$ .

Now x := k x' and y := k y' is one solution of the equation 2.1.