

# Counting modulo $n$ and its time complexity

Mathematical Cryptography,  
Lectures 3 - 4

- 1 **Counting modulo  $n$** 
  - Exponentiation in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$
  - Chinese remainder theorem
  - Residual arithmetic
- 2 **Time complexity of counting modulo  $n$** 
  - Asymptotic notation
  - Basic operations in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$
  - Euclidean algorithm and residue arithmetic

## Exponentiation in $\mathbb{Z}_n$

We can replace numbers by their remainders when adding or multiplying in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Can we also somehow reduce an exponent when exponentiating in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ?

Results of powers must repeat, because there are only finitely many numbers in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

There exist  $k > l \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $a^k = a^l$ .

If  $a$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , then we get  $a^{k-l} = 1$  from it. The powers of  $a$  repeat with a period  $k - l$ .

Is there any common period for all invertible  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ?

## Euler-Fermat's Theorem

### Fermat's little theorem

For every prime  $p$  and every  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  we have  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

### Euler-Fermat's theorem

For every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , where  $a$  is relatively prime to  $n$ , we have  $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

It means: If  $a$  is relatively prime to  $n$ , we can reduce an exponent modulo  $\varphi(n)$  counting in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

## Euler-Fermat's Theorem

### Euler's phi function

$\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : \varphi(n) =$  the number of integers between 0 and  $(n - 1)$  that are relatively prime to  $n$

To calculate the Euler's phi function, we use these formulas:

- $\varphi(p) = p - 1$  for  $p$  prime
- $\varphi(p^e) = p^e - p^{e-1} = p^{e-1}(p - 1)$  for  $p$  prime and  $e \in \mathbb{N}$
- $\varphi(n \cdot m) = \varphi(n) \cdot \varphi(m)$  in case  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  are relatively prime

### Exercise

1)  $\varphi(100) = \varphi(2^2 \cdot 5^2) = (4 - 2) \cdot (25 - 5) = 40; \varphi(1) = 1.$

If the prime factorization of  $n$  is known, we can calculate  $\varphi(n)$ .

2)  $5^{64} = 5^4 = 13$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{18}$  because  $\gcd(5, 18) = 1$  and  $\varphi(18) = 6.$

## Euler-Fermat's Theorem

### Euler's theorem

Let  $(G, \circ)$  be a finite group with  $n$  elements where 1 is the identity element. For every  $a \in G$  we have  $a^n = \underbrace{a \circ a \circ \dots \circ a}_{n\text{-times}} = 1$  in  $G$ .

The Euler-Fermat's theorem is a special case of the Euler's theorem, applied to the group  $(\mathbb{Z}_n^*, \cdot)$  of invertible elements in the monoid  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot)$ .

$$\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n; a \text{ is relatively prime to } n\}$$

The number of elements of the group is  $|\mathbb{Z}_n^*| = \varphi(n)$  and the identity element is 1.

## Finding inverse elements in $\mathbb{Z}_n$

### Note

The Euler-Fermat's theorem can be used to find an inverse element of  $a$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  too.

If  $a$  is relatively prime to  $n$ , then  $a^{-1} = a^{\varphi(n)-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

The repeated squaring algorithm will compute this more quickly.

### Note

If  $a$  is not invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , then there also exist exponents  $k > l$  such that  $a^k = a^l$ . The powers of an element  $a$  repeat with a period  $k - l$ , but no power equals to 1, i.e.  $a^k \neq 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  for every  $k > 0$ . Otherwise, if  $a^k = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , then there would exist  $a^{-1} = a^{k-1}$ .

## Repeated squaring algorithm

### Repeated squaring algorithm

We compute  $a^b$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  by successive squaring.

We write the exponent binary:  $b = (b_{k-1} \dots b_0)_2$

We create a sequence of commands  $X =$ "times  $a$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ",

$S =$ "square in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ " as follows:

We put the  $S$ -command between every two ciphers in the binary notation, which creates  $k$  slots. We put the  $X$ -command in the slot just when 1 is in the corresponding place in the binary notation, otherwise we leave the slot empty.

We start from  $a^0 = 1$  and we execute the commands from the left to the right.

## Repeated squaring algorithm

### Repeated squaring algorithm

Input: natural numbers  $a, b, n$

Output:  $a^b$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$

Let  $b = (b_{k-1} \dots b_0)_2$  be the binary expansion of the exponent  $b$ .

- $c \leftarrow 1$
- for  $i \leftarrow k - 1$  down to 0 do
  - $c \leftarrow c^2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$
  - if  $b_i = 1$  then  $c \leftarrow ca$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$
- output  $c$

## Repeated squaring algorithm

### Exercise

Count  $2^{13}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$ .

The number  $b = 13 = (1101)_2$  corresponds to the sequence of commands  $XSXSSX$ .

In  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$ :  $1 \xrightarrow{X} 2 \xrightarrow{S} 4 \xrightarrow{X} 8 \xrightarrow{S} 4 \xrightarrow{S} 16 \xrightarrow{X} 12 = 2^{13}$

### Note

The time complexity of the repeated squaring algorithm - it performs at most  $2 \log_2(b)$  multiplications in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

The space complexity - it computes with numbers smaller than  $n^2$ .

## Chinese remainder theorem

### Chinese remainder theorem

Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  be a pairwise relatively prime family of natural numbers, and  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  be integers. Then there exists a solution to the system of congruences

$$x \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Moreover, any two solutions are congruent modulo  $n = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$ .

## Chinese remainder theorem

### Proof

A proof of an existence of a solution gives us a universal guide to solving residue systems, so we explain it here.

First we solve a special residue system for every  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i} \text{ and } x \equiv 0 \pmod{n_j} \text{ for any } j \neq i.$$

The solution of the  $i$ -th residue system denoted as  $q_i$  could be found as follows:

$q_i = (\prod_{j \neq i} n_j) t_i$ , where  $t_i = (\prod_{j \neq i} n_j)^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$  (due to having a pairwise relatively prime family this inverse element exists)

It is easy to see that  $a = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i q_i$  solves the given residue system.

## Chinese remainder theorem

### Exercise

Solve the residue system:

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, x \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, x \equiv 1 \pmod{9}, x \equiv 2 \pmod{11}$$

We use the notation  $q_{n_i}$  instead of  $q_i$ .

$$q_4 = 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 \cdot t, \text{ where } t \text{ is calculated in } \mathbb{Z}_4: t = (1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3)^{-1} = 3.$$

Hence  $q_4 = 1485$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By analogy, calculate the other  $q_{n_i}$ ,

$$\text{we get } q_4 = 1485, q_5 = 396, q_9 = 1540, q_{11} = 540.$$

Finally  $x = 2q_4 + 0q_5 + 1q_9 + 2q_{11} = 1630$  is the only solution in  $\mathbb{Z}_{1980}$ , where  $1980 = 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 11$ .

## Residue systems in general

In case  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  are not necessarily a pairwise relatively prime family of natural numbers, then the system of equations

$$x \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq k$$

may or may not have a solution. If the system has a solution, then any two solutions are congruent modulo  $n = \text{lcm}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ .

### Exercise

1) The system  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, x \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  has no solution.

2) The system  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  has solutions  $x = 11 + 20t$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We can find  $x$  by solving Diophantine equations obtained from:

$$x = 2k + 1 = 4l + 3 = 5m + 1 \quad \text{for } k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

## Residual arithmetic

### Theorem

Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  be a pairwise relatively prime family of natural numbers and let  $n = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$ . Define the map

$$\theta : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_k} : [a]_n \mapsto ([a]_{n_1}, \dots, [a]_{n_k})$$

- 1 The definition is correct, it does not depend on a choice of the representative of the class  $[a]_n$ .
- 2 The map  $\theta$  is a bijection.
- 3 For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $\theta(\alpha) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ ,  $\theta(\beta) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ , the following holds:  
 $\theta(\alpha + \beta) = (\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_k + \beta_k)$ ,  $\theta(0) = (0, \dots, 0)$ ,  
 $\theta(-\alpha) = (-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_k)$ ;  
 $\theta(\alpha \cdot \beta) = (\alpha_1 \cdot \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_k \cdot \beta_k)$ ,  $\theta(1) = (1, \dots, 1)$ ,  
 $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  iff every  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}^*$ . Then  $\theta(\alpha^{-1}) = (\alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_k^{-1})$ .

## Residual arithmetic

### Notes

- The map  $\theta$  could be defined over the base representatives of the classes in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , i.e. over the remainders after dividing by  $n$ , as follows:

Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  be a pairwise relatively prime family of natural numbers, let  $n = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$ .

For any  $0 \leq a < n$ , denote its remainder after division by  $n_i$  as  $a_i$ , so  $a \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}$ ,  $0 \leq a_i < n_i$ . Then the map

$$\theta : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_k} : a \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_k)$$

is the so-called (*Chinese*) *remainder map*.

- The previous theorem says that the Chinese remainder map  $\theta$  is a ring isomorphism, which respects invertible elements.

## Residual Arithmetic

### Notes

- The restriction of the map  $\theta$  to the set  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  is a bijection of the set  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  to the set  $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}^*$ , which is a group isomorphism.
- If  $n, m$  are relatively prime, then  $\varphi(n \cdot m) = \varphi(n) \cdot \varphi(m)$ .

### Consequence

Let  $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$ , where  $p_i$  are distinct primes, so their powers are pairwise relatively prime.

If we want to count in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , we can count just in the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{e_i}}$  and then use the Chinese remainder isomorphism.

## Residual arithmetic

### Residual arithmetic

We want to count numbers in the range  $-M \leq c < M$ , or respectively with numbers in the range  $0 \leq c < 2M$ .

We choose a family of pairwise relatively prime numbers  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  such that  $n = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i > 2M$  (just a little larger). We compute the universal coefficients  $q_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$  for this family.

We perform all calculations with reminders in each  $\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$  and finally the result in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is obtained using the Chinese remainder theorem.

If we know that the results are between  $-M$  and  $M$ , then  $M \leq c < 2M$  corresponds to  $-M \leq c - n < 0$ .

## Residual arithmetic

### Exercise

In  $\mathbb{Z}_{1980}$ , compute  $a \cdot b, a^b, a^{-1}$  for the numbers  
 $a = 31313131313, b = 123456789$ .

We know that  $1980 = 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 11$ , so  $\mathbb{Z}_{1980} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_{11}$ .

The  $q_{n_i}$  universals for this disjoint set of numbers are

$$q_4 = 1485, q_5 = 396, q_9 = 1540, q_{11} = 540.$$

$$\theta(a) = (1, 3, 5, 2), \theta(b) = (1, 4, 0, 5).$$

$$\theta(a \cdot b) = (1 \cdot 1, 3 \cdot 4, 5 \cdot 0, 2 \cdot 5) = (1, 2, 0, -1).$$

Hence  $a \cdot b = 1q_4 + 2q_5 + 0q_9 - 1q_{11} = 1737$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{1980}$ .

$\theta(a^b) = (1^b, 3^b, 5^b, 2^b) = (1^1, 3^1, 5^3, 2^9) = (1, 3, -1, 6)$ , we have used the Euler-Fermat's theorem in each  $\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$ . So  $a^b = 413$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{1980}$ .

$\theta(a^{-1}) = (1^{-1}, 3^{-1}, 5^{-1}, 2^{-1}) = (1, 2, 2, 6)$ , so  $a^{-1} = 677$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{1980}$ .

## Residual arithmetic

### Divisibility theorems

Let  $a = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \cdot 10^i$ , where  $a_i$  are digits. Then

- $a \equiv \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \pmod{3}$ , respectively  $\pmod{9}$
- $a \equiv \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i a_i \pmod{11}$

Let  $a = \sum_{i=0}^k t_i \cdot 1000^i$ , where  $t_i$  are triples of digits. Then

- $a \equiv \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i t_i \pmod{7}$ , respectively  $\pmod{13}$

## Asymptotic notation

### Definition

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be real functions and  $g(x) \geq 0$  (both functions could be defined and  $g$  non-negative only "for all sufficiently large  $x$ ").

- $f \in O(g)$  when there exist  $c > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $x \geq x_0$ ,  $|f(x)| \leq cg(x)$ .
- $f \in \Omega(g)$  when there exist  $c > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $x \geq x_0$ ,  $f(x) \geq cg(x)$ .
- $f \in \Theta(g)$  when there exist  $c, d > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $x \geq x_0$ ,  $dg(x) \leq f(x) \leq cg(x)$ .

## Asymptotic notation

### Definition

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be real functions and  $g(x) \geq 0$  (both functions could be defined and  $g$  non-negative only "for all sufficiently large  $x$ ").

- $f \in o(g)$  when for every  $c > 0$  there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $x \geq x_0$  there is  $|f(x)| \leq cg(x)$ .
- $f \in o(g)$  when  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$ .
- $f \sim g$  (asymptotically equivalent) when  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$ .

## Number representation

### Number length

The length of an integer  $a$  is the number of bits in the binary representation of the absolute value  $|a|$ , i.e.

- $\text{len}(a) = \lfloor \log_2 |a| \rfloor + 1$  if  $a \neq 0$
- $\text{len}(a) = 1$  if  $a = 0$

## Number representation

### Large integers representation

Large integers are stored in a computer memory as a vector of words of length  $\text{len}(B)$  together with a sign bit:

$$a = \pm \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i B^i = \pm (a_{k-1}, \dots, a_0)_B$$

Then  $\text{len}(a) = k \text{len}(B) = O(k)$ .

For example, in the languages *C* or *Java* for 32-bit computers  $B = 2^{15}$  is used for a type *Integer*.

## Basic operations in $\mathbb{Z}$

### Statement

Let  $a, b$  be integers. Suppose that adding two bits or multiplying two bits takes one unit of time.

- $a \pm b$  takes  $O(\text{len}(a) + \text{len}(b))$  time.
- $a \cdot b$  takes  $O(\text{len}(a) \text{len}(b))$  time.
- If  $b \neq 0$ ,  $a = qb + r$ , we can find the quotient  $q$  and the remainder  $r$  in  $O(\text{len}(b) \text{len}(q))$  time.  
Thus  $\text{len}(a) - \text{len}(b) - 1 \leq \text{len}(q) \leq \text{len}(a) - \text{len}(b) + 1$ .
- Multiplying  $a$  or dividing  $a$  by a power of  $2^n$  takes  $O(\text{len}(a))$  time, since it's just shifting bits left or right.

## Basic operations in $\mathbb{Z}$

### Faster multiplication

- A classical algorithms for multiplying two numbers of length  $l$  in  $O(l^2)$  time is not the fastest one. But it is sufficient for our estimate of time complexity of algorithms (we will have an upper bound of it).
- The Karatsuba's algorithm for multiplying two numbers of length  $l$  takes time  $O(l^{\log_2(3)})$ , while  $\log_2(3) \doteq 1.58$ .
- When counting with large numbers represented in the  $B = 2^{15}$ -ary system, multiplication of two words of length 15 takes place within a single 32-bits word. We can assume that it takes one unit of time. Then the multiplicative constant in the time estimate will be  $\frac{1}{B}$  times smaller. The choice of  $B$  does not affect theoretical calculation, but it plays an important role in practice.

## Basic operations in $\mathbb{Z}_n$

### Statement

Let  $a, b$  be numbers from  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  ( $0 \leq a, b < n$ ), an exponent  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ . We perform operations in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and a result should be in the range  $0 \leq c < n$ .

- $a \pm b$  is computed in time  $O(\text{len}(n))$ .
- $a \cdot b$  is computed in time  $O(\text{len}(n)^2)$ .
- $a^e$  is computed in time  $O(\text{len}(e) \text{len}(n)^2)$  by the repeated squaring algorithm.
- If  $\text{gcd}(a, n) = 1$ , then  $a^e$  is computed in time  $O(\text{len}(e) \text{len}(n) + \text{len}(n)^3)$  by the repeated squaring algorithm after using the Euler-Fermat's theorem.
- If  $\text{gcd}(a, n) = 1$ , then  $a^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is computed in time  $O(\text{len}(n)^3)$  using the repeated squaring algorithm.

## Time complexity of Euclidean algorithm

The Euclidean algorithm computes  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ , where  $a \geq b > 0$ .

- The number of divisions with remainder is  $O(\text{len}(b))$ .
- The rough estimate of the total time is  $O(\text{len}(b)^2 \text{len}(a))$ .
- Moreover it can be proved that the Euclidean algorithm only needs  $O(\text{len}(b) \text{len}(a))$  time.

The extended Euclidean algorithm computes  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  together with  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $sa + tb = \text{gcd}(a, b)$ .

- The extended Euclidean algorithm needs  $O(\text{len}(b) \text{len}(a))$  time.
- If  $\text{gcd}(a, n) = 1$ , then  $a^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is computed in time  $O(\text{len}(n)^2)$  by the extended Euclidean algorithm.

## Time complexity of residual arithmetic

We count with integers  $a, b$  and we expect results in the range from  $-M$  to  $M$ , or from 0 to  $2M$  respectively.

- We choose a set of "small" pairwise relatively prime numbers, usually primes  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  such that  $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i > 2M$ . Say all primes  $p_i < 2^C$ , where  $C$  is a constant. We will count residually using the Chinese remainder theorem.
- The universal coefficients  $q_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ , for the Chinese remainder theorem can be computed in time  $O(\text{len}(n)^2)$ , and  $\text{len}(q_i) \simeq \text{len}(n)$ . But of course, we calculate these coefficients only once!

## Time complexity of residual arithmetic

- Reminders  $a_i, b_i$  of numbers  $a, b$  modulo each  $p_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ , are computed in time  $O(C \text{len}(n)) = O(\text{len}(n))$ .
- Arithmetic operations in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$  take a constant time:  $a_i \pm b_i, a_i \cdot b_i$ , or  $a_i^r, a_i^{-1}$ , if  $\text{gcd}(a_i, n) = 1, r < p_i$ , are computed in a time at most  $O(C^3) = O(1)$  in each  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ .
- A solution of the corresponding residue systems for  $a \pm b, a \cdot b$ , or  $a^s, a^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , if  $\text{gcd}(a, n) = 1$ , contains in counting a corresponding linear combination of the coefficients  $q_i$  performed in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  which takes time  $O(kC \text{len}(n)) = O(\text{len}(n))$ .
- Residual counting (with precomputed  $q_i$ 's) works in a linear time with the multiplicative constant  $k$  (because  $C$  is small).

## Time complexity of residual arithmetic

### Example

The product of all primes smaller than  $2^{16}$  is approximately  $2^{90\,000}$ . We can residually multiply numbers which have less than 45 000 bits in a linear time.

An estimate for a multiplicative constant: there is  $k \doteq 5000$  primes up to  $2^{16}$ , multiplying remainders within a 32-bit word takes a unit of time. So it is roughly 9 times faster than a quadratic time.

## Time complexity of counting modulo n

### Literature

- Velebil: Discrete mathematics. Chapter 3.4. <ftp://math.feld.cvut.cz/pub/velebil/y01dma/dma-notes.pdf>
- Shoup: A Computational Introduction to Number Theory and Algebra. Chapters 2.4-7, 3.1-4, 4.1-4. <http://shoup.net/ntb/>