Mathematical Cryptography, Lectures 17 - 18

Contents

- Probabilistic algorithms
 - Probabilistic algorithms
 - Discrete probability distributions
 - Generate and test algorithm
- Generating random numbers
 - Generating random numbers
 - Generating random primes
 - Generating random factorized numbers

Generating random bits

Suppose we have an algorithm that generates a random bit (we'll leave aside how). So we have a new instruction, on the same level as the arithmetic instructions "sum of two bits" and "product of two bits",

$$\gamma \leftarrow RAND$$
,

which randomly assigns into the variable γ zero or one so that

- $P[\gamma = 1] = P[\gamma = 0] = \frac{1}{2}$,
- the result of the RAND instruction does not dependent on its previous calls.

We will assume that one call of the RAND instruction takes constant time O(1).

Definition

- Probabilistic algorithms are algorithms that use the RAND instruction.
- Deterministic algorithms do not use the RAND instruction.

In algorithm, we will denote random generation as follows:

- $y \xleftarrow{\phi} \{0,1\}$ generates a random bit at time O(1)
- $y \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{\times I}$ generates a string of length I of random bits at time O(I)

For a given probabilistic algorithm A and an input x, we introduce random variables:

- LOOPS = number of loop iterations executed in a given run of the algorithm
- LOOPTIME = time for executing one loop
- TIME = total running time of the algorithm
- OUTPUT = value of the output at a given execution of the algorithm

The values of these random variables will depend on results of the RAND instructions in a given run of the algorithm A with input x.

We are interested in the expected running time of the algorithm A with input x (the expectantion of the random variable TIME), and in the probability distribution of the random variable OUTPUT.

Probability space

More precisely, we create a countable probability space simulating a behavior of the probabilistic algorithm A with input x under different outcomes of the RAND instructions.

- $\Omega = \{\omega \in \{0,1\}^{\times I}, I \in \mathbb{N}; \omega = \text{exact execution path}\}$ An exact execution path is a sequence 0 a 1 in which each member corresponds to one algorithm instruction, so the last member corresponds to the *HALT* instruction. Furthermore, if the i-th instruction is *RAND*, then the i-th member is used as the result of the *RAND* instruction.
- $P(\omega) = \frac{1}{2^{|\omega|}}$, where $|\omega| = I$ is the length of the sequence ω .
- It can be shown that $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} 2^{-|\omega|} = \alpha \le 1$. We say that the algorithm A stops at input x with probability α . If $\alpha = 1$, then $P: \Omega \mapsto <0, 1>$ is a probability function on Ω .

Random variable

The random variables will then be mappings defined on Ω :

- $TIME(\omega) = |\omega|$
- $OUTPUT(\omega)$ = the output of the algorithm A with input x, if the sequence ω simulates the algorithm execution (it has results of the RAND instructions in the corresponding places).

Then we calculate the probability that the running time equals to *I* as follows:

• $P[TIME = I] = P(\{\omega \in \Omega, TIME(\omega) = I\}) = \frac{s}{2^l}$, where s =the number of exact execution paths of length I.

We will treat random variables on an intuitive level, without going into the details of the computation in the relevant probability space.

Discrete probability distributions

Discrete probability distributions

Let the random variable X take countably many values from the set $M = \{x_i, i \in I\}$, where $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

A probability function P is any nonnegative function from M to R that satisfies $\sum_{i \in I} P[X = x_i] = 1$.

A probability function defines a discrete probability distribution of the random variable on the set M.

Expectation of a random variable

The *expectation* of the random variable X is defined as a weighted average: $E(X) = \sum_{i \in I} x_i P[X = x_i]$.

It is a linear function, E(aX + bY) = aE(X) + bE(Y) for any random variables X, Y on the set M and $a, b \in R$.

Discrete probability distributions

- A random variable X has a *uniform distribution* on the set $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$ in case $P[X = i] = \frac{1}{m}$ for every $1 \le i \le m$. The expectation is $E(X) = \frac{m+1}{2}$.
- A random variable X has an alternative distribution with parameter p on the set $\{0,1\}$ in case $P[X=1]=p,\ P[X=0]=1-p.$ The expectation is E(X)=p.
- The random variable X has a geometric distribution with parameter p on the set $\{1,2,3,\ldots\}=\mathbb{N}^+$ in case $P[X=i]=(1-p)^{i-1}p$ for every $i\geq 1$. The expectation is $E(X)=\frac{1}{p}$.

(If an experiment has an alternative distribution with the probability of success p, then the geometric distribution gives the probability that the first success occurs in the i-th iteration of the experiment.)

Algorithm - coin toss until heads comes up

- repeat $y \stackrel{\not \circ}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}$
- until y = 1

Algorithm analysis

The probability that the algorithm stops after one cycle is $\frac{1}{2}$. The random variable *LOOPS* has a geometric distribution with parameter $p=\frac{1}{2}$, the expected number of loops is E(LOOPS)=2.

The probability that the number of loops is at least k is equal to $P[LOOPS \ge k] = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}$, so $\lim_{k \to \infty} P[LOOPS \ge k] = 0$.

The algorithm stops with probability 1, even though the number of algorithm steps is not bounded. The situation that the algorithm does not stop has zero probability.

Algorithm GT (= Generate and test)

We have two probabilistic algorithms A(x) and B(x, y), where B returns *true* or *false*. The algorithm GT(x) combines them both:

- repeat $y \leftarrow A(x)$
- until B(x, y)
- output y

Analysis of the GT algorithm

• If A stops with probability 1 on input x and for each output y it is true that B stops with probability 1 on input (x, y), while for some y the probability that B(x, y) returns true is positive, then GT also stops with probability 1 on input x.

Analysis of the GT algorithm

Let \mathcal{H}_1 be the event that the algorithm halts after the first loop iteration, and T be the set of all possible outputs of the algorithm A(x).

- The random variable *LOOPS* has a geometric distribution with parameter $p = P[\mathcal{H}_1]$.
- $E(TIME) = E(LOOPS) E(LOOPTIME) = \frac{1}{p} E(LOOPTIME)$
- ullet For each $t\in \mathcal{T}$, $P[\mathit{OUTPUT}=t]=P[\mathit{OUTPUT}=t\,|\,\mathcal{H}_1]$

Algorithm RN (= Random number)

Input: a natural number $m \geq 1$

Output: a random natural number less than m

•
$$I \leftarrow \lceil \log_2(m) \rceil$$
 (So $2^{l-1} < m \le 2^l$)

repeat

•
$$y \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{\times I}$$
 (String type)

•
$$y \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{\times l}$$
 (String type)
• $n \leftarrow \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} y_i 2^i$ (Integer type)

- until n < m
- output n

Analysis of the RN algorithm

- Algorithm stops with probability 1.
- LOOPS has a geometric distribution with parameter $p=\frac{m}{2l}>\frac{1}{2}$, so the expected number of loop iterations is E(LOOPS) < 2.
- The time required for one loop is O(I), the expected time is $E(TIME) \in O(2I) = O(I)$, where I = len(m).
- The output is uniformly distributed over the set $\{0,\ldots,m-1\}$, so $P[OUTPUT=n]=\frac{1}{m}$ for each 0 < n < m - 1.

Algorithm RN (= Random number)

Input: a natural numbers $1 \le m_1 < m_2$

Output: a random natural number from the interval $\{m_1, \ldots, m_2\}$

- $I \leftarrow \lceil \log_2(m_2 + 1) \rceil$
- repeat

 - $y \leftarrow \{0,1\}^{\times l}$ (String type) $n \leftarrow \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} y_i 2^i$ (Integer type)
- until $m_1 < n < m_2$
- output n

The expected time is O(I) and the output is uniformly distributed over the set $\{m_1, \ldots, m_2\}$.

Algorithm GT (= Generate and test) more specifically

Input: a finite set T and its non-empty subset T'Output: a random element of T'

- repeat $y \stackrel{\not \circ}{\leftarrow} T$
- until $y \in T'$
- output y

We assume that we can randomly generate an element from T in the expected time O(f) and that the output of this algorithm is uniformly distributed over the set of T.

We further assume that we can efficiently test that $y \in T'$ in the expected time O(g), and that $T' \neq \emptyset$.

Moreover in doing so, both algorithms stop with probability 1.

Analysis of the GT algorithm

- The GT algorithm stops with probability 1.
- LOOPS has a geometric distribution with parameter $p = \frac{|T'|}{|T|} > 0$, so the expected number of loops is $E(LOOPS) = \frac{|T|}{|T'|}$.
- The expected time of one loop is $E(LOOPTIME) \in O(f+g)$, so the total expected time is $E(TIME) \in O(\frac{|T|}{|T'|}(f+g))$.
- The output is uniformly distributed over the set T', so $P[OUTPUT = t] = \frac{1}{|T'|}$ for each $t \in T'$, P[OUTPUT = t] = 0 for each $t \in T \setminus T'$.

Algorithm RP (= Random prime)

Input: a natural number $m \ge 2$

Output: a random prime between 2 and m (or a random l—bit prime)

- repeat $n \stackrel{\not \circ}{\leftarrow} \{2, \dots m\}$ (resp. $n \stackrel{\not \circ}{\leftarrow} \{2^{l-1}, \dots, 2^l 1\}$)
- until IsPrime(n)
- output n

An IsPrime(n) algorithm for testing primality is a "black box" for now, which returns true or false for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For the time analysis of the algorithm RP, we need to estimate how many primes there are and what is their "density" among the natural numbers.

Euclidean theorem

There are infinitely many primes.

Proposition

It can be found n consecutive composite numbers for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (There are arbitrarily large "holes" between primes.)

Let $\pi(m)$ denote the number of primes between 1 and m, including m.

Chebyshev's theorem

For every natural number $m \geq 2$, $\pi(m) \in \Theta(\frac{m}{\ln(m)})$.

Proposition

For each natural number $m \geq 2$: $\pi(m) \geq \frac{\ln(2)}{2} \cdot \frac{m}{\ln(m)} \doteq 0.35 \cdot \frac{m}{\ln(m)}$

Consequence

Since $\ln(m) = \frac{\log_2(m)}{\log_2(e)}$, it is also true that $\pi(m) \in \Theta(\frac{m}{\ln(m)})$, or there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for all $m \ge m_0$ holds:

$$c_1 \frac{1}{\operatorname{len}(m)} < \frac{\operatorname{len}(m)}{m} < c_2 \frac{1}{\operatorname{len}(m)}$$

Note

There is together 168 primes up to m = 1000.

The Chebyshev's estimate is $\frac{m}{\ln(m)} = \frac{1000}{\ln(1000)} \doteq 145$.

While $len(1000) \doteq 10$, our estimate $\frac{m}{len(m)} = \frac{1000}{len(1000)} \doteq 100$ is slightly more inaccurate.

Bertrand's postulate

For every natural number $m \geq 1$, $\pi(2m) - \pi(m) > \frac{m}{3\ln(2m)}$. Or, there is $\Omega(\frac{m}{\ln(m)})$ primes between m and 2m.

Consequence

There exists c > 0 such that for all $m \ge m_0$ the following holds:

$$c\,\frac{1}{\mathrm{len}(m)}<\frac{\pi(2m)-\pi(m)}{m}$$

Analysis of the RP algorithm for deterministic IsPrime(n)

- We assume that the algorithm IsPrime(n) works in time $O(\tau(I))$ for all $n \le m$, where I = len(m), and that $\tau(I) > I$. Then the time required for one loop is $O(\tau(I))$.
- LOOPS has a geometric distribution with parameter $p=\frac{\pi(m)}{m-1}$, or with parameter $p=\frac{\pi(2^l)-\pi(2^{l-1})}{2^{l-1}}$ for an l-bit prime. In both cases we have an estimate $p>\frac{c}{l}$ for an appropriate constant $c\doteq\frac{1}{3}$, thanks to the Chebyshev's and Bertrand's theorems.
- The expected time is $E(TIME) \in O(I\tau(I))$.
- The output is uniformly distributed over the set of all primes.

Analysis of the RP algorithm for probabilistic IsPrime(n)

Let the algorithm IsPrime(n) be a probabilistic algorithm that is burdened with a one-sided error: for a prime n the answer true is certain, for a composite number n, the answer true is also possible with probability at most ϵ .

- We will assume that the algorithm IsPrime(n) works in expected time $O(\tilde{\tau}(I))$ for all $n \leq m$, where I = len(m), and that $\tilde{\tau}(I) > I$. Then the expected time for one loop is $O(\tilde{\tau}(I))$.
- LOOPS has a geometric distribution with parameter $p>\frac{\pi(m)}{m-1}$, since the algorithm may terminate even for a composite number n. Thanks to Chebyshev's theorem, we get $p>\frac{c}{l}$ for suitable $c\doteq\frac{1}{3}$.
- The expected time is $E(TIME) = E(LOOPTIME)E(LOOPS) \in O(I\tilde{\tau}(I)).$

Analysis of the RP algorithm for probabilistic IsPrime(n)

- $P[OUTPUT = n] = \beta < \frac{1}{\pi(m)}$ is the same for every prime $n \le m$.
- P[OUTPUT = n] > 0 also for every composite $n \le m$.
- We estimate the total probability of the event that the output is a composite number:

$$P[n \text{ composite} | \textit{IsPrime}(n)] = \frac{P[\textit{IsPrime}(n) | n \text{ composite}] P[n \text{ composite}]}{P[\textit{IsPrime}(n)]} < \frac{\epsilon}{\pi(m)} < \frac{\epsilon l}{c}$$

The probability that the output is composite is $O(\epsilon I)$. (The estimate is very rough, but sufficient in order to answer the question how small ϵ should we choose.)

Generating random factorized numbers

Algorithm RS (= Random non-increasing sequence)

Input: a natural number $m \ge 2$

Output: a non-increasing sequence of numbers between 1 and m

- $n_0 \leftarrow m, k \leftarrow 0$
- repeat
 - $k \leftarrow k+1$
 - $n_k \stackrel{\not C}{\leftarrow} \{1,\dots,n_{k-1}\}$ (the same number can be chosen again)
- until $n_k = 1$
- output (n_1, \ldots, n_k)

The expected time is $O(I^2)$, where I = len(m) (the intervals will roughly halve, we expect $\log_2(m)$ random selections).

Generating random factorized numbers

Algorithm RFN (= Random factorized number)

Input: a natural number $m \ge 2$, (or number of bits l) Output: a random factorized number $n \le m$, (or l-bit n)

- repeat
 - generate a non-increasing sequence of numbers up to m using the RS algorithm, we get the sequence (n_1, \ldots, n_k) in which numbers can repeat
 - select a subsequence of all primes using $IsPrime(n_i)$, we get the sequence (p_1, \ldots, p_s) and we keep all duplicates
 - $n \leftarrow \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i$ (once multiplication exceeds m, we will not multiply further)
 - $x \xleftarrow{q'} \{1, \dots, m\}$ (to ensure that n is randomly large enough)
- until $x \le n \le m$ (or $2^{l} \le n < 2^{l+1}$)
- output n, (p_1, \ldots, p_s)

Generating random factorized numbers

Analysis of the RFN algorithm

For a deterministic IsPrime(n) operating in time $O(\tau(I))$:

- The expected time is $E(TIME) \in O(I^2\tau(I))$.
- The output is uniformly distributed over the set $\{1, \ldots, m\}$.

For a probabilistic IsPrime(n) operating in expected time $O(\tilde{\tau}(I))$, which can return true for a composite number with probability at most ϵ :

- The expected time is $E(TIME) \in O(I^2\tilde{\tau}(I))$.
- All correctly factorized outputs have the same probability.
- The total probability that the output is incorrectly factorized is $O(\epsilon l^2)$ for sufficiently small ϵ , roughly $\epsilon l \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Generating a random prime p with factorized p-1

Algorithm RPF

Input: a natural number $m \geq 2$, (or number of bits I) Output: a random prime number $p \leq m+1$ (or I-bit p), together with a factorization of p-1

- repeat
 - generate a random factorized number less then m (or l-bit) using the RFN algorithm, we get n, (p_1, \ldots, p_s)
- until IsPrime(n+1)
- $p \leftarrow n + 1$
- output p, (p_1, \ldots, p_s) is the factorization of p-1

Generating a random prime p with factorized p-1

Analysis of the RPF algorithm

For probabilistic IsPrime(n) operating in expected time $O(\tilde{\tau}(I))$, which can return true for a composite number with probability at most ϵ :

- The expected time is $O(I^3 \tilde{\tau}(I))$.
- Every prime p with correctly factorized p-1 is chosen with equal probability.
- The probability that the output p is not prime or p-1 is not correctly factorized is $O(\epsilon l^2)$ for sufficiently small ϵ , roughly $\epsilon l \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Literature

- Shoup: A Computational Introduction to Number Theory and Algebra. Chapter 9.
- For the basics of probability theory, see the same book, chapter 8, paragraphs 1-4 and 10.
- Theorems about primes can be found there in the chapter 5. http://shoup.net/ntb/