## Exercise sheet 1

**1.** Consider the following propositional formula. For which truth valuation is it true? Write down the truth table!

 $\text{a)} \ (x \Rightarrow y) \Rightarrow x \qquad \text{b)} \ (x \lor y) \Leftrightarrow (y \Rightarrow x) \qquad \text{c)} \ (x \land y) \Rightarrow \neg x \qquad \text{d)} \ (x \Rightarrow (y \lor z)) \lor ((y \land z) \Rightarrow x)$ 

**2.** Which of the above propositional formulas are satisfiable? Which of them are tautologies? Which of them are contradictions?

**3.** Think about the following famous tautologial consequences. Do they make intuitive sense? Prove at least some of them.

b) $\alpha \models (\alpha \lor \beta)$ (addition) c) $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land \alpha) \models \beta$ (addition) d) $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land \neg \beta) \models \neg \alpha$ (modus ponens / direct reasoning) e) $((\alpha \lor \beta) \land \neg \alpha) \models \beta$ (disjunctive syllogism) f) $(\neg (\alpha \land \beta) \land \alpha) \models \neg \beta$ (conjunctive syllogism) g) $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \gamma)) \models (\alpha \Rightarrow \gamma)$ (hypothetical syllogism / transitivity of $\Rightarrow$ )	a) $(\alpha \land \beta) \models \alpha$	(simplification)
$\begin{array}{ll} c) & ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land \alpha) \models \beta & (modus \text{ ponens / direct reasoning}) \\ d) & ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land \neg \beta) \models \neg \alpha & (modus \text{ tollens / indirect reasoning}) \\ e) & ((\alpha \lor \beta) \land \neg \alpha) \models \beta & (disjunctive syllogism) \\ f) & (\neg(\alpha \land \beta) \land \alpha) \models \neg \beta & (conjunctive syllogism) \\ g) & ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \gamma)) \models (\alpha \Rightarrow \gamma) & (hypothetical syllogism / transitivity of \Rightarrow) \end{array}$	b) $\alpha \models (\alpha \lor \beta)$	(addition)
$ \begin{array}{ll} \text{d)} & ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land \neg \beta) \models \neg \alpha & (\text{modus tollens / indirect reasoning}) \\ \text{e)} & ((\alpha \lor \beta) \land \neg \alpha) \models \beta & (\text{disjunctive syllogism}) \\ \text{f)} & (\neg (\alpha \land \beta) \land \alpha) \models \neg \beta & (\text{conjunctive syllogism}) \\ \text{g)} & ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \gamma)) \models (\alpha \Rightarrow \gamma) & (\text{hypothetical syllogism / transitivity of } \Rightarrow) \end{array} $	c) $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land \alpha) \models \beta$	(modus ponens / direct reasoning)
e) $((\alpha \lor \beta) \land \neg \alpha) \models \beta$ (disjunctive syllogism) f) $(\neg(\alpha \land \beta) \land \alpha) \models \neg \beta$ (conjunctive syllogism) g) $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \gamma)) \models (\alpha \Rightarrow \gamma)$ (hypothetical syllogism / transitivity of $\Rightarrow$ )	d) $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land \neg \beta) \models \neg \alpha$	(modus tollens / indirect reasoning)
$ \begin{array}{ll} f) \ (\neg(\alpha \land \beta) \land \alpha) \models \neg \beta & (\text{conjunctive syllogism}) \\ g) \ ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \gamma)) \models (\alpha \Rightarrow \gamma) & (\text{hypothetical syllogism / transitivity of } \Rightarrow) \end{array} $	e) $((\alpha \lor \beta) \land \neg \alpha) \models \beta$	(disjunctive syllogism)
g) $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \gamma)) \models (\alpha \Rightarrow \gamma)$ (hypothetical syllogism / transitivity of $\Rightarrow$ )	f) $(\neg(\alpha \land \beta) \land \alpha) \models \neg\beta$	(conjunctive syllogism)
	g) $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \gamma)) \models (\alpha \Rightarrow \gamma)$	(hypothetical syllogism / transitivity of $\Rightarrow)$

4. Think about the following famous tautologial equivalences. Do they make intuitive sense? Prove at least some of them.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{a)} (\alpha \wedge \alpha) \models \alpha, (\alpha \vee \alpha) \models \alpha & (\text{idempotent laws}) \\ \text{b)} \neg \neg \alpha \models \alpha & (\text{double negative}) \\ \text{c)} (\alpha \wedge \beta) \models (\beta \wedge \alpha), (\alpha \vee \beta) \models (\beta \vee \alpha) & (\text{double negative}) \\ \text{d)} ((\alpha \wedge \beta) \wedge \gamma) \models (\alpha \wedge (\beta \wedge \gamma)), ((\alpha \vee \beta) \vee \gamma) \models (\alpha \vee (\beta \vee \gamma)) & (\text{associativity of } \wedge \text{ and } \vee) \\ \text{e)} \neg (\alpha \wedge \beta) \models \neg \alpha \vee \neg \beta, \neg (\alpha \vee \beta) \models \neg \alpha \wedge \neg \beta & (\text{de Morgan's laws}) \\ \text{f)} (\alpha \wedge (\beta \vee \gamma) \models ((\alpha \wedge \beta) \vee (\alpha \wedge \gamma), (\alpha \vee (\beta \wedge \gamma)) \models ((\alpha \vee \beta) \wedge (\alpha \vee \gamma)) & (\text{distributivity laws}) \\ \text{g)} (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \models (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \neg \alpha) & (\text{contrapositive}) \end{array}$