

# 1 Tutorial 1 – October 3rd, 2017

**1.1** Write down the truth tables of the following formulas. Decide whether they are tautologies:

- a)  $(x \Rightarrow y) \Rightarrow x$ ;
- b)  $\neg\neg\neg x \Leftrightarrow \neg x$ ;
- c)  $(x \vee y) \Leftrightarrow (y \Rightarrow x)$ ;
- d)  $((x \vee y) \vee z) \Leftrightarrow (x \vee (y \vee z))$ ;
- e)  $(x \Rightarrow (y \vee z)) \vee ((y \wedge z) \Rightarrow x)$ .

**Solution of e).**

Denote  $\alpha = (x \Rightarrow (y \vee z)) \vee ((y \wedge z) \Rightarrow x)$ . Then the truth table is

$x$	$y$	$z$	$x \Rightarrow (y \vee z)$	$(y \wedge z) \Rightarrow x$	$\alpha$
0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	0	1	1
1	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1

Since the column corresponding to  $\alpha$  contains all 1's,  $\alpha$  is a tautology.

**1.2** For which truth valuations  $u$  is the formula

- a)  $(x \Rightarrow \neg x) \wedge (\neg x \Rightarrow x)$  true;
- b)  $x \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow y)$  false;
- c)  $x \wedge (y \Rightarrow (z \vee x))$  true;
- d)  $(x \Rightarrow \neg x) \Leftrightarrow \neg x$  false;
- e)  $(x \vee \neg y) \Rightarrow (\neg x \wedge y)$  true;
- f)  $((x \vee y) \wedge z) \wedge y \vee x$  false?

**Solution of f).** We give two different solutions:

1. We can use the truth table for  $\alpha = (((x \vee y) \wedge z) \wedge y) \vee x$

$x$	$y$	$z$	$x \vee y$	$(x \vee y) \wedge z$	$((x \vee y) \wedge z) \wedge y$	$\alpha$
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	1	0	1
1	1	0	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1

We can see that  $\alpha$  is false for all valuations in which  $x$  is false and at least one of  $y$  and  $z$  is also false.

2. We can also proceed without the truth table. Since  $\alpha = \beta \vee x$  (for  $\beta = ((x \vee y) \wedge z) \wedge y$ ),  $\alpha$  is true whenever  $x$  is true. So  $\alpha$  could be false only for  $x$  false. Moreover, for  $\alpha$  to be false, also  $\beta$  must be false. On the other hand,  $\beta \models y \wedge z$ , therefore  $\alpha$  is false for those truth valuations for which  $x$  and  $z \wedge y$  are both false, which means that  $x$  is false and at least one of  $y$  and  $z$  is false as well.

**1.3** Decide whether the following formulas are tautologies, contradictions, or satisfiable formulas that are not tautologies:

- a)  $(x \Rightarrow y) \Rightarrow (x \vee y)$ ;
- b)  $((x \Rightarrow y) \Rightarrow (\neg x \wedge y)) \vee \neg y$ ;
- c)  $((x \wedge y) \vee (\neg x \wedge \neg y)) \Leftrightarrow ((\neg x \vee \neg y) \wedge (x \vee y))$ ;
- d)  $(x \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow y)) \Rightarrow y$ ;
- e)  $((x \Rightarrow z) \wedge (y \Rightarrow z)) \Rightarrow ((x \wedge y) \Rightarrow z)$ ;
- f)  $((x \Rightarrow z) \vee (y \Rightarrow z)) \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow y)$ .

**Solution of f).**

Denote  $\alpha = ((x \Rightarrow z) \vee (y \Rightarrow z)) \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow y)$ . Again we show two different solutions.

1. We can use the truth table:

$x$	$y$	$z$	$x \Rightarrow z$	$y \Rightarrow z$	$(x \Rightarrow z) \vee (y \Rightarrow z)$	$x \Rightarrow y$	$\alpha$
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Hence  $\alpha$  is a satisfiable formula but not a tautology.

2. We can also proceed as follows: The formula  $\alpha$  is satisfiable, since for example if  $u(x) = u(y) = 0$  (and  $u(z)$  arbitrary), the formula  $\alpha$  is true. Indeed, in this case  $u(x \Rightarrow y) = 1$ , therefore any formula of the form  $\beta \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow y)$  is true as well.

On the other hand,  $\alpha$  is not a tautology, since for  $u(x) = 1$ ,  $u(y) = 0$  we have  $u(x \Rightarrow y) = 0$  and at the same time  $u(y \Rightarrow z) = 1$  regardless the value  $u(z)$ . Therefore,  $u((x \Rightarrow z) \vee (y \Rightarrow z)) = 1$  and  $\alpha$  is false.

**1.4** Show that the following semantical consequences are valid:

- a)  $\{\alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \beta \Rightarrow \gamma\} \models \alpha \Rightarrow \gamma$ ;
- b)  $\{\alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \neg\beta\} \models \neg\alpha$ ;
- c)  $\{\alpha \vee \beta, \alpha \Rightarrow \gamma, \beta \Rightarrow \gamma\} \models \gamma$ ;
- d)  $\{\alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \alpha \Rightarrow \neg\beta\} \models \neg\alpha$ ;
- e)  $\{(\alpha \wedge \beta) \Rightarrow \gamma, (\alpha \wedge \neg\beta) \Rightarrow \gamma\} \models \alpha \Rightarrow \gamma$ .

**Solution of c).**

Denote  $S = \{\alpha \vee \beta, \alpha \Rightarrow \gamma, \beta \Rightarrow \gamma\}$ . We show two different solutions.

1. We will use the truth table.

$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$	$\alpha \vee \beta$	$\alpha \Rightarrow \gamma$	$\beta \Rightarrow \gamma$	$S$	$\gamma$
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

We can see from the truth table that whenever  $S$  is true in  $u$  formula  $\gamma$  is true as well. Therefore,  $\gamma$  is a consequence of  $S$ .

2. We show that if in a truth valuation  $u$  the formula  $\gamma$  is false, then at least one of the formulas from  $S$  is false as well.

Assume that  $u(\gamma) = 0$ . Then either  $u(\alpha) = 1$  but then  $u(\alpha \Rightarrow \gamma) = 0$  (and  $u(S) = 0$ ); or  $u(\alpha) = 0$ . Similarly,  $u(\beta) = 1$  yields  $u(\beta \Rightarrow \gamma) = 0$  (and  $u(S) = 0$ ); or  $u(\beta) = 0$ . But if  $u(\alpha) = 0 = u(\beta)$  then  $u(\alpha \vee \beta) = 0$  (and again  $u(S) = 0$ ). Therefore, there is no  $u$  for which  $u(S) = 1$  and  $u(\gamma) = 0$ , which proves that  $S \models \gamma$ .

**1.5** Write down values of the boolean function  $g$  given bellow and try to simplify it:

a)  $g(x, y, z) = (x + \bar{y} + z) (\bar{x} + \bar{y} + \bar{z}) (\bar{x} + y + \bar{z})$ ;

b)  $g(x, y, z) = (x + y + z) (\bar{x} + \bar{y} + \bar{z}) (\bar{x} + \bar{y} + z) (x + \bar{y} + \bar{z})$ .

**Solution of b).**

We will display the values of  $g(x, y, z)$  in the following table. The eight rows express the eight combinations of 0 and 1 we can substitute into  $g$ . For example,

$$g(0, 0, 0) = (0 + 0 + 0) (1 + 1 + 1) (1 + 1 + 0) (0 + 1 + 1) = 0 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = 0.$$

Similarly,  $g(0, 0, 1)$ , etc. We get:

$x$	$y$	$z$	$g(x, y, z)$
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0
1	1	1	0

To simplify the boolean function  $g(x, y, z)$  we will use the distributivity law:

$$(\bar{x} + \bar{y} + \bar{z}) (\bar{x} + \bar{y} + z) = (\bar{x} + \bar{y}) (z + \bar{z}) = (\bar{x} + \bar{y}) 1 = (\bar{x} + \bar{y}).$$

and

$$(\bar{x} + \bar{y} + \bar{z}) (x + \bar{y} + \bar{z}) = (\bar{x} + x) (\bar{y} + \bar{z}) = 1 (\bar{y} + \bar{z}) = (\bar{y} + \bar{z}).$$

Hence

$$g(x, y, z) = (x + y + z) (\bar{x} + \bar{y}) (\bar{y} + \bar{z}).$$

## Answers

**1.1** a) not a tautology, b) a tautology, c) not a tautology, d) a tautology, e) a tautology.

**1.2** a) In no truth valuation. b) For the truth value for which  $u(x) = 1$ ,  $u(y) = 0$ . c) For all truth valuations for which we have  $u(x) = 1$ . d) In no truth valuation. e) For truth valuation with  $u(x) = 0$  and  $u(y) = 1$ . f) For all truth valuations for which the logical variable  $x$  is false and at least one from logical variables  $y, z$  is also false. It means  $u(x) = 0$  and at the same time  $u(y) = 0$  or  $u(z) = 0$ .

**1.3** a) A satisfiable formula which is not a tautology. b) A satisfiable formula which is not a tautology. c) A contradiction. d) A satisfiable formula which is not a tautology. e) A tautology. f) A satisfiable formula which is not a tautology.

**1.5** a)  $g(x, y, z) = x\bar{z} + \bar{x}y + \bar{y}z = (\bar{x} + \bar{z})(x + \bar{y} + z)$ .